

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/010,555 01/28/93 SOLAZZI CHEMPLEX-3 EXAMINER CANO.M. 18M1/0504 ARTHUR L. PLEVY PLEVY & ASSOCIATES ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P. O. BOX 1366 146 ROUTE 1 NORTH 1809 EDISON, NJ 08818-1366 DATE MAILED: 05/04/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. **SUMMARY OF ACTION** 21-24 1. E Claims are pending in the application. 2. Claims 5. Claims are objected to. 6. Claims_ ___ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute speet(s) of drawings, filed on __ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ _____, has been 🔲 approved. 🔲 disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _ __ : filed on . 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial Number: 08/010,555 -2-

Art Unit: 1809

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Cancellation of claims 1-20 as set forth in amendment filed October 29, 1993 is acknowledged.

2. The finality status of the last Office Action has been withdrawn. Any delay in the prosecution is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 86 S.Ct. 684, 15 L.Ed. 2nd 545 (1966), 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue; and

Serial Number: 08/010,555

Art Unit: 1809

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

5. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Solazzi.

Solazzi, U.S. Pat. No. 4,409,854 illustrates in Fig. 2 a tubular member (18) defining an axial bore extending therethrough; and a receptacle member (17) defining an interior cavity (41) for receiving a sample, the receptacle member having a tubular sidewall portion axially alignable with the tubular member and frictionally receivable within the axial bore and an endwall portion defining a centrally disposed reduced thickness region (38), wherein an upper edge (30) of the tubular sidewall portion is adapted to receive a flexible sheet of material (40) and wherein the receptacle member including an exterior annular collar (19) disposed proximate an end region of the tubular sidewall portion, whereby a sealed sample receptacle may be formed by placing said flexible sheet on the upper edge and inserting the tubular sidewall portion of the receptacle within the interior bore and whereby the reduced thickness region is piercable to permit atmospheric venting of the sealed sample receptacle. The endwall portion of the receptacle member defines an interior surface of the internal cavity and an exterior surface outside the internal cavity, the exterior surface defining a reservoir (60) for containing heat sensitive liquid samples. The tubular member including a circumferential extending bead (33) projecting from an interior surface thereof proximate a first end of the axial bore, and wherein an exterior surface of the tubular sidewall portion defines a circumferential extending recess (31) dimensioned and arranged to receive the bead of the tubular member when the annular collar surface of the receptacle member engages the circumferential edge of the tubular member. The circumferential edge being proximate a second end of the axial bore. However,

Serial Number: 08/010,555 -4-

Art Unit: 1809

Solazzi fails to disclose a surface of the annular collar engaging a circumferential edge of the tubular member

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the collar of Solazzi with a collar so that it would engage the circumferential edges of the tubular member, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of the collar. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). In this case, a change in length of the collar of Solazzi, the structure being fabricated substantially of plastic would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, since plastic is recognized as a material which can be molded to any size, shape and length. The modified collar would have solved Applicant's overhang of extraneous film by increasing the length of the collar so that any edges may be covered by it, preventing from trimming any excess of flexible material. Such modification being considered to be obvious within the perview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 29, 1993 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that Solazzi ('854) does not illustrate a collar engaging with the circumferential edges of the tubular member.

With regard to this argument, it is the Examiner's position that although Solazzi ('854) does not illustrate engagement of the collar with the circumferential edges of the tubular member, such modification in Solazzi would have involved mere modification in the structure of the collar, resolved within the skill of one in the art in an effort to maintain a taut, wrinkle free sample plane with the sheet of material, since the

-5-

Art Unit: 1809

modified collar will provide an uniform placement of the sheet of material over the opening of the cell as the modified collar is pushed down, thus retaining the film in position after assembly.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sultan et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,982,615 disclose a sterile container for collecting biological samples for purposes of analysis.

Lesage et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,961,916 disclose a sample device.

Solazzi, U.S. Pat. No. 4,402,909 discloses vials for comminuting and blending samples for spectrochemical analysis.

Libman et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,046,138 disclose a diagnostic device for liquid samples.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton I. Cano whose telephone number is (703) 308-3959.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Milton I. Cano: mic January 4, 1994

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

GROUP 180